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AIR FORCE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT CENTER:

Integrating the Acquisition and Product Support Enterprise

The Wright Brothers Lead the Way

The legacy of the Air Force Life Cycle Management Center (AFLCMC) began with the pioneering work of Orville and Wilbur Wright from 1899 to 1903 that enabled them to achieve the first manned, powered flight. After their success at Kitty Hawk, they returned home to Dayton, Ohio, where in 1904 and 1905 they perfected their flying skills while turning the Wright Flyer into the first practical airplane capable of fully-controlled flight. They accomplished this work at a site known as the Huffman Prairie Flying Field, now a part of Wright-Patterson Air Force Base where AFLCMC is headquartered.

In 1907, the Aeronautical Division was established within the Office of the Chief Signal Officer of the Army and put in charge “of all matters pertaining to military ballooning, air machines, and all kindred subjects on hand.” The Signal Corps fleet consisted of two balloons and one dirigible. Following Orville Wright’s successful test flight of an aircraft at Fort Meyer, Virginia, the Army Signal Corps took delivery on August 2, 1909 of Signal Corps Airplane No. 1 at a total cost of $30,000. (Signal Corps Specification, No. 486). Through their research, development, production, and logistics, the Wrights pioneered the aerospace system life cycle management process, which the Signal Corps acquired along with Airplane No. 1.
McCoy Field: A Foundation Is Established

The advent of World War I found the United States technologically ill-prepared to assist the allies in fighting an air war. In July 1914 the Army Signal Corps Aviation Section, the successor to the Aeronautical Division, had 30 aircraft and 40 pilots. When the United States entered the war in April 1917, those numbers had risen to about 200 airplanes, but none were fit for combat, and fewer than 1,200 men were assigned to the Aviation Section. By the November 11, 1918 Armistice, the 45 American Expeditionary Force squadrons had 740 airplanes equipped with the latest armament, 12 of them flying American built planes.
To meet wartime demands, the Signal Corps sought a new location for construction of a temporary experimental engineering field where all Army aircraft engineering and procurement functions could be consolidated. A site north of downtown Dayton, Ohio, was selected and construction of McCook Field began in October 1917. The field soon became home to the Signal Corps (later Air Service) Airplane Engineering Department.

Over the next decade, the Airplane Engineering Department (renamed the Airplane Engineering Division) served as the center of all Army aviation research and development. McCook Field’s engineers kept the skies humming with flight tests. They also designed cabins, oxygen systems, the concept of the electric ignition system, as well as the invention of anti-knock fuels. They worked on improving navigational aids, weather forecasting techniques, propellers, aerial photography, and the design of landing and wing lights for night flying. In
short, they were engaged in the research, development, and acquisition of everything related to flying.

In 1925, the Engineering Division’s role shifted from aircraft design and construction to acquiring and evaluating prototype systems submitted by commercial aircraft companies. The transition to commercial suppliers forced manufacturers to compete with each other to produce quality aircraft. This change freed McCook’s engineers to concentrate on developing standards unique to military aircraft, reviewing designs, modifying and testing procured machines, and developing ancillary equipment to enhance military aircraft.

A New Home: Wright Field

In 1926, the Engineering Division and the Field Service Section were combined under the newly established Army Air Corps to form the Materiel Division, which was given responsibility for aeronautical research, procurement, supply, and maintenance. The expanding Materiel Division required better quarters than the temporary facilities and limited runway available at McCook Field and the search for a new location began. With Dayton facing the threatened loss of McCook Field’s operations, John H. Patterson, President of the National Cash Register Corporation in Dayton, initiated a local campaign to raise money to purchase a tract of land large enough for a new air field. A coalition of prominent Dayton citizens and businessmen conducted a campaign that raised $425,000 in two days, enough to purchase 4,520 acres east of Dayton, including Wilbur Wright Field (a flying field already leased by the Air Service which included the Huffman Prairie). In August 1924, the Air Service accepted Dayton’s gift and agreed to build a new field on the site.

Wright Field was officially dedicated on October 12, 1927 and the McCook Field laboratories and engineering shops were moved to the new home of the Materiel Division. Wright Field’s facilities included a main laboratory, a final assembly hangar and adjacent shops, a dynamometer laboratory, wind tunnels, propeller test facilities, an airship hangar, an armament range, a foundry, and other supporting facilities.

The Wright Field staff began acquiring aircraft designed to fulfill specific mission roles. The first production attack, cargo, and bomber aircraft were soon being delivered. Meanwhile, Wright Field’s engineers and scientists developed navigation and communications
equipment, cockpit instrumentation, electrically-heated flight clothing, and in-flight refueling equipment. However, Congress’ continued severe underfunding of the Army Air Corps up to the eve of World War II left the service a small, poorly-equipped, and outdated force compared to the adversaries it would soon engage.

Wright Field, 1927

World War II Reorganization and Expansion

Under the Lend-Lease Act of 1941, the Materiel Division began supplying armament, aircraft, and equipment to the European Allies. These operations expanded massively when the United States entered World War II in December 1941. The previous June, the Army Air Corps had been redesignated the Army Air Forces. In October the engineering and logistics functions, which had been combined in 1926 as the Materiel Division, were separated again and the logistics activities moved to the new Air Service Command. The Materiel Division became Materiel Command in 1942 and was given responsibility for planning, development, testing, evaluation, modification, contracting, and coordinating production for all Army aircraft deployed worldwide. Subsequent jurisdictional conflicts between Materiel and Air Service Commands resulted in the August 31, 1944 establishment of Air Technical Service Command (renamed Air Materiel Command in 1946) which once again reunited the research, development, acquisition, and logistics functions.
Building 262, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, was Headquarters for Air Service Command, Air Materiel Command, Air Force Logistics Command, and Air Force Materiel Command.
The massive scope of defense work during World War II resulted in many Wright Field functions moving to new locations. Wartime work conducted by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology at Hanscom Field paved the way for Hanscom to emerge as the post-war center for the development and acquisition of electronic systems. Eglin Field, Florida, was established as a proving ground for aircraft armament. Kirtland Army Air Field in New Mexico transitioned from a flight training center in 1946 to a flight test center. It also assumed responsibility for developing aircraft modifications for weapons delivery, determining ballistic characteristics for weapons of the future, and testing and evaluating special weapons. Tinker Field in Oklahoma repaired and modified bombers and cargo planes. It also overhauled thousands of aircraft engines enroute to becoming the Air Force’s premier propulsion facility. The immense volume of flight test work and the need for a secret location to test experimental aircraft drove flight testing from Wright Field to Rogers Dry Lake in Muroc, California, which later become the Air Force Flight Test Center, Edwards Air Force Base.

A New Air Force Brings Specialized Commands and Product Centers

The Air Force became an independent service in 1947. Growing involvement with research and development activities and the advent of more technologically sophisticated systems gave impetus to the new service’s reassessment of the roles of research, acquisition, and logistics. In 1950 the Air Force announced the creation of a separate command for research and development. Those missions transferred from Air Materiel Command to the new Air Research and Development Command (ARDC). In 1951 ARDC placed the principal elements of engineering, the laboratories, and flight testing under the Wright Air Development Center (WADC) at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. The following year, ARDC brought together armament development and testing in what would become the Air Force Armament Center at Eglin AFB, Florida.

During the 1950’s, aeronautical development in the Air Force was the WADC mission. WADC’s seven divisions (Weapons Systems, Weapons Components, Research, Aeronautics, All-Weather Flying, Flight Test, and Materiel) and 12 laboratories conducted research and development efforts through Weapon System Project Offices (WSPOs). The WSPO concept originated from Germany’s World War II missile development program, where missile designers were forced to focus on the entire system rather than simply build an airframe, then hang engines, avionics, and armament on it. This original concept evolved into the modern Air Force System Program Office (SPO).

In 1961, the Air Force announced a substantial reorganization of the systems acquisition structure. The research and development mission of the Air Research and Development Command was combined with the procurement and production mission of Air Materiel Command to form Air Force Systems Command (AFSC). The mission of AFSC and its subordinate units was to manage the research, development, and acquisition of aerospace
systems. The Aeronautical Systems Division (ASD) activated at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base April 1, 1961 replaced WADC and assumed its missions. Simultaneously, the Electronic Systems Division (ESD) activated at Hanscom AFB and was tasked to consolidate management of the Air Force’s electronic systems under one agency.

Post-Cold War Reform and Consolidation

The end of the Cold War combined with a declining Soviet threat, federal budget constraints, and the high cost of modern technology triggered Air Force reassessments of its acquisition processes as well as research, development, and logistics roles. Congress also began passing substantial legislation designed to improve and increase oversight of acquisition programs. These actions generated a host of management tools and initiatives such as total quality management, activity based costing, lean aerospace initiative, integrated weapon systems management, and strategic planning to foster production of more reliable systems that could be delivered faster and cheaper. Other reforms focused on integrating all functional life cycle requirements into the development of weapon systems.

A 1986 Blue Ribbon Commission on Defense Management (Packard Commission) and the Goldwater-Nichols DoD Reorganization Act laid the groundwork for the coming reforms. A follow-on Defense Management Report directed by President George H.W. Bush outlined a path to improve weapons procurement and Pentagon management by fully implementing the Packard Commission recommendations. Accordingly, in 1990 management authority for major programs was transferred from AFSC to independent Program Executive Officers (PEOs) at the Pentagon. PEOs were established for six mission areas: space systems, strategic systems, tactical strike systems, tactical airlift systems, information support systems, and command, control, and communications systems. Product center system program managers reported directly to their PEOs at the Pentagon, bypassing both the system divisions and the AFSC commander. AFSC, however, retained program management authority for minor programs.
On January 10, 1991, the Secretary of the Air Force announced that Air Force Systems Command and Air Force Logistics Command would inactivate and Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC) would be established and activated at Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, on July 1, 1992. This announcement started the first complete overhaul of Air Force materiel management since 1961. AFMC did more than reunify the research, development, procurement, and sustainment missions within a single major command. It developed a new culture by drawing upon the talents, capabilities, and best practices of both AFSC and AFLC while incorporating modern management concepts, tools, and processes. AFMC became responsible for the full spectrum of activities necessary to provide systems to the warfighter and to support them from “cradle to grave.” The Aeronautical Systems Division and Electronic Systems Division were redesignated as Centers. The 88th Air Base Wing, the host organization for Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, was assigned to the Aeronautical Systems Center. In 1994 ASC’s 4950th Test Wing inactivated and its operations moved to the Air Force Flight Test Center. Further restructuring came in 1997 when the Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) was activated. AFRL separated the research units from the product centers and consolidated the Air Force’s laboratories to reduce manpower and more easily serve the entire Air Force rather than just their assigned product centers. The next year, the Air Force Development Test Center at Eglin AFB, Florida, became the Air Armament Center, a product center responsible for development, acquisition, testing, and fielding of all air-delivered weapons. The Human Systems Center at Brooks AFB, Texas, was also reintegrated into the Aeronautical Systems Center.

In 2003, the Air Force Chief of Staff initiated a review of the Air Force’s product, logistics, and test centers to make them more recognizable and understandable to their counterparts across the Air Force. The review drove an Air Force decision to improve program accountability by consolidating its PEO portfolios around larger mission groups and relocating them to the product centers. A second decision in 2005 replaced the System Program Offices at the product centers with standard Air Force units—wings, groups, and squadrons. These units were assigned their own organic functional resources and manpower. Matrix management, the practice of detailing functional support as needed to the program offices, was eliminated. Air Force leaders believed military units would make acquisition and product centers more transparent to the operational side of the service, reduce confusion when programs moved from the acquisition to the sustainment phase, and establish better program accountability.
The military units soon faced new problems. Their structure prevented senior managers from quickly detailing specialists and moving personnel between program offices and units as needed. Administrative tasks and a hierarchical structure burdened commanders and slowed the flow of information and decision making. Consequently, the military unit structure was replaced in 2010 with named directorates, divisions, and branches. Smaller PEO portfolios were co-located with their product centers rather than in Washington, D.C. The PEOs, while continuing to report to the Service Acquisition Executive at the Pentagon, were also named directors of their respective product center directorates.

A Five-Center Construct

On June 4, 2010, the Secretary of Defense issued a memorandum challenging the military services to eliminate redundant functions and increase funding for mission functions through efficiency savings in overhead, support, and non-core mission areas.

A subsequent Air Force strategic review revealed imbalances between high priority areas that required more manpower and management overhead functions that needed to be streamlined. A DoD Resource Management Decision freezing civilian manpower at FY 2010 budget levels forced the Air Force to initiate a variety of measures to correct manpower imbalances and realign scarce resources to the most critical missions. AFMC’s senior leaders decided in 2011 to attack the issue by restructuring the command’s centers from twelve location-specific subordinate organizations requiring full support staffs into five mission-based, integrated life cycle centers.
The five-center construct was designed to reduce overhead costs and redundant layers of staff. Its benefits included fostering a life cycle management focus, integrating maintenance and supply chain functions, and improving the goal of presenting a single face to customers. The consolidated organizations would standardize business practices, streamline processes and decision making authority, and bring AFMC into a one mission-one commander alignment. AFMC’s five centers would be the Air Force Life Cycle Management Center (AFLCMC) at Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio; the Air Force Sustainment Center (AFSC) at Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma; the Air Force Test Center (AFTC) at Edwards AFB, California; the Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base; and the Air Force Nuclear Weapons Center (AFNWC) at Kirtland AFB, New Mexico. The restructuring would eliminate over 1,000 civilian positions while preserving mission capability. The result would also unleash the full power of the system program manager and program executive officer concepts by replacing the traditional management-staff model with lead centers that oversaw multiple locations for each core mission area. Additionally, each system would have one unified program execution chain of command for oversight from conception to disposal.

A Center for Life Cycle Management

Air Force planners conceived the Air Force Life Cycle Management Center as a mechanism to bring focused, disciplined, and integrated life cycle management to the acquisition of Air Force weapon systems. This approach would drive long-term strategic perspectives to weapon system development, procurement, and support. Planners believed that consolidating design, production, and product support decisions under a single manager would establish clear lines of authority, responsibility, and accountability for all Air Force weapon systems, increase flexibility in response to changing operational needs and optimize use of program dollars. AFLCMC would foster standard business processes across the enterprise, allowing the Center to uniformly and continuously improve its processes enterprise wide to achieve on-time and on-cost weapon procurement, fielding, and product support. AFLCMC would also present a single weapon system face to the Air Force, the other services, and the Allied and Combatant Commands to facilitate faster responses to warfighter requirements.

Air Force Life Cycle Management Center Activates

Headquarters Air Force Life Cycle Management Center was constituted on June 18, 2012, activated on July 9, 2012 at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, and assigned to the Air Force Materiel Command. Lieutenant General Clyde D. Moore II was appointed as AFLCMC’s first commander. The mission assigned to the new Center was to:

Develop, acquire, modernize, integrate, and support affordable and sustainable advanced aerospace, cyberspace, command, control and communications, and weapons capabilities to our warfighters (AF, joint service, allied and coalition partners) and work the priorities of the Secretary of the AF, Chief of Staff of the AF, AF Materiel Command (AFMC) Commander, and the Service Acquisition Executive. To provide dominant air, space, and cyberspace power, AFLCMC
delivers affordable and sustainable war-winning capabilities to US and international partners--on time, on cost, anywhere, anytime from cradle to grave. Accomplish the Center mission through ten Program Executive Officers (PEOs) (Agile Combat Support; Armament; Battle Management; C3I and Networks; Business Enterprise Systems; Fighters and Bombers; Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance and Special Operations Forces (ISR and SOF); Mobility; Strategic Systems; and Tanker Directorates) and three program directorates (Air Force Security Assistance Cooperation, Airborne Laser, and Propulsion) at nine major geographic locations (Wright-Patterson AFB OH, Hanscom AFB MA, Eglin AFB FL, Lackland AFB TX, Robins AFB GA, Tinker AFB OK, Hill AFB UT, Kirtland AFB NM and Gunter AFB AL), with responsibility for installation support at the 66th Air Base Group (Hanscom AFB MA) and 88th Air Base Wing (Wright-Patterson AFB OH).

For operational convenience, Lieutenant General Moore simplified the mission statement to: “Delivering affordable and sustainable war-winning capabilities to U.S. and international partners, on time, on cost, anywhere, anytime from cradle to grave.” AFLCMC was the sole center responsible for total life cycle management of all aircraft, engines, munitions, electronics,
and cyber systems. It handled the acquisition and initial fielding of new systems and upgrades, developed new materiel solutions, and transitioned interesting technologies into militarily useful capabilities. It was responsible for ensuring the systems were sustainable, while extending and improving the capabilities of systems that were already fielded. AFLCMC also executed foreign military sales of aircraft and other defense-related equipment, while building security assistance relationships with foreign partner nation air forces. AFLCMC would consolidate PEO portfolios under a single center. Wright-Patterson Air Force Base was selected as the location for Headquarters, Air Force Life Cycle Management Center based on mission, ability to absorb workload, facilities and infrastructure, cost of facilities, and ability to meet the Initial Operational Capability date.

During the transition period extending from AFLCMC’s activation until Initial Operational Capability was declared on 1 October 2012, Air Armament Center, Aeronautical Systems Center, Electronic Systems Center, and Air Force Security Assistance Center and their subordinate units were attached to AFLCMC. On October 1, 2012, those four centers inactivated and the 66th Air Base Group, 88th Air Base Wing, and 645th Aeronautical Systems Group and their subordinate units were formally assigned to the Air Force Life Cycle Management Center.

Headquarters, Air Force Life Cycle Management Center was built around and absorbed the former Aeronautical Systems Center staff. The Center’s functional staff offices were:

- Acquisition Excellence Directorate (AQ)
- Plans and Programs Directorate (XP)
- Engineering Directorate (EN)
- Staff Judge Advocate Office (JA)
- Financial Management Directorate (FM)
- Small Business Office (SB)
- Personnel Directorate (DP)
- Safety Office (SE)
- Contracting Directorate (PK)

The execution directorates were:

- Contract Execution Directorate (PZ)
- Technical Engineering Services Directorate (EZ)
- Program Execution Directorate (AZ)
- Financial Analysis and Cost Directorate (FZ)
- Intelligence Directorate (IN)
- Program Development and Integration Directorate (XZ)
- Logistics Services Directorate (LG)
The program directorates were:

Air Force Security Assistance Cooperation Directorate (WF), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio;
Propulsion Directorate (LP), Tinker AFB, Oklahoma; and
High Power Directed Energy Program Directorate (TM), Kirtland AFB, New Mexico (Until 2013).

The Propulsion Directorate was accountable for the Air Force propulsion enterprise and oversaw a portfolio of over 23,000 engines. The Air Force Security Assistance and Cooperation Directorate oversaw Foreign Military Sales cases for Department of Defense and allied weapon systems.
The Program Executive Officer directorates were:

Agile Combat Support Directorate (WN), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio;  
Armament Directorate (EB), Eglin AFB, Florida;  
Battle Management Directorate (HB), Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts;  
Business and Enterprise Systems Directorate (HI), Maxwell Gunter Annex, Alabama;  
Command, Control, Communications, Intelligence and Networks Directorate (HN), Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts;  
Fighters and Bombers Directorate (WW), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio;  
Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Directorate (WI), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio;  
Mobility Directorate (WL), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio;  
Strategic Systems Directorate (SS), Kirtland AFB, New Mexico (until 2015); and  
Tanker Directorate (WK), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

The Agile Combat Support Directorate (WN) oversaw metrology and calibration missions, automatic test systems, combat electronics systems, electronic warfare and avionics, environmental and industrial engineering, human systems, simulator systems, and support equipment and vehicles.

The Armament Directorate (EB) jointly managed, with the U.S. Navy, the development, testing, fielding, and sustainment of air-to-air missiles, air-to-ground munitions, directed energy weaponization, and armament test and training systems.

The Battle Management Directorate (HB) supported aerospace management, air operations command and control, mission planning, intelligence, theater battle control, airborne battle management, missile warning, space control sensors, joint operations and force application planning, force protection, and weather operations.
The Business and Enterprise Systems Directorate (HI) enabled enterprise information technology capabilities and supported upgrades to modernize infrastructure across the combat and mission support spectrum.

The Command, Control, Communications, Intelligence, and Networks (C3I&N) Directorate (HN) sustained cyberspace, communications, cryptologic, and space and nuclear network capabilities.

The Fighters and Bombers Directorate (WW) provided the Air Force’s strike aircraft.

The Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance (ISR), and Special Operations Forces (SOF) Directorate (WI) delivered intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, and special operations systems.
The Mobility Directorate (WL) managed development, production, testing, deployment, and sustainment of a mobility and training aircraft portfolio.

The Tanker Directorate (WK) directed the KC-46 and Legacy Tanker programs.

The Strategic Systems Directorate (SS), which supplied safe, secure, and effective nuclear capability to the nation’s deterrent forces, was abolished 1 October 2015. Its manpower authorizations, functions, and the Program Executive Officer for Strategic Systems (AFPEO/SS) were transferred to the Air Force Nuclear Weapons Center.

Several AFLCMC functional staffs (i.e. Acquisition Excellence, Engineering, Financial Management, Contracting, etc.), were divided into management directorates and new mission execution directorates. The latter contained low density-high demand authorizations to provide shared resources for organization, training, and equipping functions through the AFLCMC command chain to directly support the Program Executive Officers. Where applicable, the management and execution directors of these organizations were dual-hatted. Program Executive Officers remained at their existing locations where they reported to the AFLCMC
Units reporting to the Center were the 66th Air Base Group at Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts, and the 88th Air Base Wing, 645th Aeronautical Systems Group, and 21st Intelligence Squadron at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.

AFLCMC also assumed oversight responsibility for the life cycle management mission within the former Aerospace Sustainment Directorates (ASDs) at Tinker AFB, Oklahoma, Hill AFB, Utah, and Robins AFB, Georgia. The ASDs provided important program management, logistics, and engineering support to assigned weapon systems. Their staff organizations were eliminated and their program offices realigned under acquisition directorates reporting to AFLCMC’s PEOs. Their acquisition workforces remained in place. System Program Managers (SPM) had authority to accomplish program objectives for development, production, and product support to meet the operational needs of customers. They reported to and were rated by the Program Executive Officers and Directors. To ensure system program efforts were horizontally integrated at Air Force Sustainment Center’s Air Logistics Complexes, the SPMs also reported to the ASD for depot operations functions executed by the Air Logistics Complex commander. In all, AFLCMC operated from 77 worldwide locations.

With the Center activated, organized, and operating, Air Force Life Cycle Management Center was able to achieve Initial Operational Capability on October 1, 2012 and Full Operational Capability on October 22, 2013.

Conclusion

The Air Force Life Cycle Management Center inherited both a rich tradition and many challenges. It once again brought acquisition and product support together in a single organization. This time, however, the organization was charged with operating as a single integrated team across the acquisition and product support enterprise, combining acquisition and product support units and missions spread across the globe. It was to hone the warfighter’s edge by acquiring and supporting war-winning aircraft, engines, munitions, electronics, and cyber weapon systems, and sub-systems. To accomplish this, AFLCMC had to
develop, acquire, and field new systems, while modifying existing ones and operating several major installations. Functioning with minimum management overhead, the AFLCMC team is focused on accomplishing its mission with effective, affordable, on-time solutions while maximizing value through efficient mission execution and cross enterprise integration. Facing and meeting these challenges presents AFLCMC with an opportunity to carve a new chapter in Air Force history and promises it an exciting future.
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AIR FORCE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT CENTER

LINEAGE AND HONORS

Lineage


Assignments

Air Force Materiel Command, 1 Jul 2012.

Stations

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1 July 2012.

Commanders


Aircraft

None.

Honors


Emblem

Approved on 17 August 2012.
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AIR FORCE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT CENTER

COMMANDERS

Lieutenant General Clyde D. Moore II 9 Jul 2012
Lieutenant General John F. Thompson 2 Oct 2014
Lieutenant General Robert D. McMurry, Jr. 2 May 2017
APPENDIX C

AIR FORCE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT CENTER

EMBLEM

BLAZON

Azure within two lightning flashes conjoined in chief curved to base, one in dexter and one in sinister Argent, voided in chief as a delta of the field, a globe per pale Azure (Cobalt Blue) and Celeste, gridlined Or, overall a lance erect of the second, all within a narrow bordure of the fourth.

SIGNIFICANCE

Ultramarine blue and Air Force yellow are the Air Force colors. Blue alludes to the sky, the primary theater of Air Force operations. Yellow refers to the sun and the excellence required of Air Force personnel. The globe represents the worldwide impact of the Center and its ability to develop solutions for the warfighter for night, day and in all situations. A lance and lightning bolts outline a stylized aircraft system, representing the full range of systems--aeronautical, armament and electronic--that the Center provides to the United States Air Force and its international partners.
A three-dimensional representation of the unit emblem evokes the Air Force Life Cycle Management Center’s clear vision of its mission and unequivocal commitment to providing our warfighters with the finest systems in the world. The two-toned globe represents the wide ranging Center workforce, the unit’s worldwide impact, and its ability to develop solutions that serve the warfighter in all operational situations, 24-hours a day. A lance and lightning bolts outline a stylized aircraft system. These elements represent the full range of systems—aeronautical, armament, and electronic—and the unified life cycle weapon system management that the Center provides to the United States Air Force and its international partners.
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AIR FORCE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT CENTER

ASSIGNED PERSONNEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Officer</th>
<th>Enlisted</th>
<th>Civilian</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>CME*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,412</td>
<td>2,618</td>
<td>14,743</td>
<td>19,773</td>
<td>5,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2,420</td>
<td>2,643</td>
<td>14,681</td>
<td>19,744</td>
<td>5,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2,267</td>
<td>2,570</td>
<td>14,734</td>
<td>19,571</td>
<td>6,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2,133</td>
<td>2,444</td>
<td>15,196</td>
<td>19,733</td>
<td>6,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2,129</td>
<td>2,512</td>
<td>15,040</td>
<td>19,681</td>
<td>6,081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CME - Contract Man-Year Equivalents were budget authorizations that could be either filled or vacant, but were always counted as filled.
## APPENDIX E

### AIR FORCE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT CENTER

#### UNITS 2012-2016

#### UNITS ASSIGNED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Assign Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HQ 66th Air Base Group</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66th Comptroller Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66th Security Forces Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66th Force Support Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66th Logistics Readiness Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66th Medical Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ 88th Air Base Wing</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ 88th Civil Engineer Group</td>
<td>1 Oct 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Civil Engineer Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>788th Civil Engineer Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ 88th Medical Group</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Aerospace Medicine Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Dental Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Diagnostic and Therapeutics Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Inpatient Operations Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Medical Operations Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Medical Support Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Surgical Operations Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ 88th Mission Support Group</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Force Support Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Logistics Readiness Squadron</td>
<td>10 Oct 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Security Forces Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ 88th Communications Group</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Communications Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Comptroller Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88th Operations Support Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ 645th Air Base Group</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>645th Aeronautical Systems Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>661st Aeronautical Systems Squadron</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment 1, HQ AFLCMC</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012 - 21 Feb 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment 2, HQ AFLCMC</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012 - 21 Feb 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment 3, HQ AFLCMC</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment 4, HQ AFLCMC</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment 5, HQ AFLCMC</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment 6, HQ AFLCMC</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment 7, HQ AFLCMC</td>
<td>1 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
United States Air Force Band of Liberty
21st Intelligence Squadron
Detachment 1, 661st Aeronautical Systems Squadron

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BAND OF LIBERTY
21ST INTELLIGENCE SQUADRON
DETACHMENT 1, 661ST AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS SQUADRON

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BAND OF LIBERTY
21ST INTELLIGENCE SQUADRON
DETACHMENT 1, 661ST AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS SQUADRON

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BAND OF LIBERTY
21ST INTELLIGENCE SQUADRON
DETACHMENT 1, 661ST AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS SQUADRON

UNITS ATTACHED

HQ AF Security Assistance Center
9 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 1, HQ Air Force Nuclear Weapons Center
9 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 1, HQ Oklahoma City Air Logistics Complex
10 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 1, HQ Ogden Air Logistics Complex
12 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
HQ Electronic Systems Center
16 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
HQ 66th Air Base Group
16 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
United States Air Force Band of Liberty
16 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 1, HQ Electronic Systems Center
16 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 2, HQ Electronic Systems Center
16 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 3, HQ Electronic Systems Center
16 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 1, HQ Warner-Robins Air Logistics Complex
17 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 2, HQ Warner-Robins Air Logistics Complex
17 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 3, HQ Warner-Robins Air Logistics Complex
17 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
HQ Air Armament Center
18 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
HQ Aeronautical Systems Center
20 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
HQ 88th Air Base Wing
20 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
HQ 645th Aeronautical Systems Group
20 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 1, HQ Aeronautical Systems Center
20 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 2, HQ Aeronautical Systems Center
20 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
Detachment 3, HQ Aeronautical Systems Center
20 Jul 2012 – 1 Oct 2012
AFMC Intelligence Squadron
1 Aug 2012 - 9 Oct 2012
Detachment 4, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center
00BE Palmdale AF Plant CA
1 Oct 2012
00FH Palmdale AF Plant CA
1 Oct 2012
0PZS Palmdale AF Plant CA
1 Oct 2012
Detachment 5, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center
00LF Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
00LG Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
00LH Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
00LJ Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
00LR Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
00MS Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
00MT Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
00NB Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
00NO Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
0EZM Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
0JAG Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
0PZC Maxwell Gunter AFS AL
1 Oct 2012
Detachment 6, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center
00BA San Antonio, TX
1 Oct 2012
Detachment 7, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center

00DN JBSA Lackland AFB TX 1 Oct 2012
00GA San Antonio, TX 1 Oct 2012
00GP JBSA Randolph AFB TX 1 Oct 2012
00KF JBSA Lackland AFB TX 1 Oct 2012
00KW JBSA Lackland AFB TX 1 Oct 2012
00LE San Antonio, TX 1 Oct 2012
00LN San Antonio, TX 1 Oct 2012
00MM JBSA Lackland AFB TX 1 Oct 2012
00MN JBSA Randolph AFB TX 1 Oct 2012
00NE JBSA Lackland AFB TX 1 Oct 2012
00NQ JBSA Randolph AFB TX 1 Oct 2012
0PZH San Antonio, TX 1 Oct 2012
0PZL JBSA Lackland AFB TX 1 Oct 2012
0PZP JBSA Randolph AFB TX 1 Oct 2012

00JR Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00JS Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00JT Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00KB Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00KC Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00KE Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00KT Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00KZ Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00LB Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00LC Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00LQ Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00LS Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00LT Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00LU Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00LV Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00LY Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00MB Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00MH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00MJ Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00MY Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00MZ Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
00NC Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0AQH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0AZH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0ENH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0EZH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0FMH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0FZH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0JAH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0PMH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0PZA Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0PZR Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0XJH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0XZB Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
0IZH Hanscom AFB MA 1 Oct 2012
APPENDIX F

AIR FORCE LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT CENTER

UNIT LOCATIONS

2016

ASSIGNED UNIT LOCATIONS

HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio;

HQ 66th Air Base Group, Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts;

HQ 88th Air Base Wing, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio;

HQ 645th Aeronautical Systems Group, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio;
   661st Aeronautical Systems Squadron, Waco, Texas;
   Detachment 1, 661st Aeronautical Systems Squadron, Denver, Colorado;
   645th Aeronautical Systems Squadron, Greenville, Texas;

21st Intelligence Squadron, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio;

Detachment 3, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, Poway, California;

Detachment 4, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, Palmdale AF Plant, California;

Detachment 5, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, Maxwell AFB/Gunter Annex, Alabama;

Detachment 6, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, JBSA Lackland AFB, Texas; and

Detachment 7, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts.

OPERATING LOCATIONS

Detachment 5, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, Maxwell AFB/Gunter Annex, Alabama
   00LF Maxwell AFB/Gunter Annex, Alabama
   00LG Maxwell AFB/Gunter Annex, Alabama
   00LH Maxwell AFB/Gunter Annex, Alabama
   00LJ Maxwell AFB/Gunter Annex, Alabama
   00LR Maxwell AFB/Gunter Annex, Alabama
Detachment 6, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, JBSA Lackland, Texas
00BA San Antonio, Texas
00DN JBSA Lackland, Texas
00GA San Antonio, Texas
00GP JBSA Randolph, Texas
00KW JBSA Lackland, Texas
00LE San Antonio, Texas
00LN JBSA Lackland, Texas
00MN JBSA Randolph, Texas
00NE JBSA Lackland, Texas
00NQ JBSA Randolph, Texas
0IZL JBSA Lackland, Texas
0PZH San Antonio, Texas
0PZL JBSA Lackland, Texas
0PZP JBSA Randolph, Texas

Detachment 7, HQ Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00JR Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00JS Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00JT Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00KB Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00KC Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00KE Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00KT Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00KZ Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00LB Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00LC Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00LQ Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00LS Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00LT Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00LU Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00LV Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00LY Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00MB Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00MH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00MJ Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
00MY Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0AQH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0AZH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0ENH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0EZH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0FMH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0FZH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0PMH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0PZA Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0PZR Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0XJH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0XZB Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
0IZH Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts

OTHER LOCATIONS

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland
Amendola AB, Italy
Arlington, Virginia
Bolling AFB, DC
Cairo City, Egypt
Cherry Point MCAS, North Carolina
Colorado Springs, Colorado
Corpus Christi Depot, Texas
Dahlgren NSF, Virginia
Denver, Colorado
Dhahran, Saudi Arabia
Edwards AFB, California
Eglin AFB, Florida
Eindhoven AB, Netherlands
Ft Huachuca, Arizona
Ft Worth, Texas
Gray Butte Plant, California
Greenville, Texas
Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts
Heath, Ohio
Hill AFB, Utah
Hurlburt Field, Florida
Indian Head, Maryland
JB Langley-Eustis, Virginia
JBMDL McGuire, New Jersey
JBSA Lackland, Texas
JBSA Randolph, Texas
Kadena AFB, Japan
King Khaled ABS, Saudi Arabia
Kirtland AFB, New Mexico
Luke AFB, Arizona
Marietta City, Georgia
Maxwell Gunter Annex, Alabama
Meppen, Germany
Mons, Belgium
Natick Laboratory, Massachusetts
New Delhi, India
Offutt AFB, Nebraska
Oslo, Norway
Palmdale, California
Patrick AFB, Florida
Patuxent River NAS, Maryland
Peterson AFB, Colorado
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Picatinny, New Jersey
Poway, California
Prince Sultan AB, Saudi Arabia
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama
Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
Robins AFB, Georgia
Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois
Rome City, New York
Salt Lake City, Utah
San Antonio, Texas
Seattle, Washington
Tabuk AFD, Saudi Arabia
Taif, Saudi Arabia
The Hague, Netherlands
Tinker AFB, Oklahoma
Tokyo, Japan
Travis AFB, California
Vandenberg AFB, California
Waco, Texas
RAF Waddington, United Kingdom
Washington, DC
Whiteman AFB, Missouri
Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio.

AFLCMC also served as the Secretary of the Air Force executive agent for nine United States Air Force Government-Owned, Contractor-Operated (GOCO) aircraft and missile industrial facilities. The Air Force Plants were:

AFP 4, Fort Worth, Texas;
AFP 6, Marietta, Georgia;
AFP 36, Evendale, Ohio;
AFP 42, Palmdale, California;
AFP 44, Tucson, Arizona;
AFP 59, Johnson City, New York;
AFP 70, Sacramento, California;
AFP 85, Columbus, Ohio;
and the former AFP Peter J. Kiewit and Sons (PJKS), Waterton, Colorado.